Volume 3(2): 283–284 Publication Date: 26 May 1988 dx.doi.org/10.7751/telopea19884815

TELOPEAJournal of Plant Systematics



plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au/Telopea • escholarship.usyd.edu.au/journals/index.php/TEL • ISSN 0312-9764 (Print) • ISSN 2200-4025 (Online)

Telopea Vol. 3(2): 283-284 (1988)

283

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Myosotis exarrhena F. Muell., the correct name for M. suaveolens (R. Br.) Poir. (Boraginaceae)

During recent examination of material of *Myosotis*, our attention was drawn to the incorrect use in Australia of the name Myosotis suaveolens. There are two homonyms involved; the first, M. suaveolens Waldst. & Kit. ex Willd., a European endemic, was published in 1809 while the combination M. suaveolens (R. Br.) Poir., applied to an Australian endemic, was not published until 1816. The latter species was originally published as Exarrhena suaveolens by Robert Brown in 1810 but Poiret transferred it to Myosotis, considering that the exserted stamens which give the taxon its name were not sufficient grounds for the erection of a separate genus. However, for reasons that are not clear, Poiret created the homonym M. suaveolens (R. Br.) Poir, and relegated M. suaveolens Waldst. & Kit. ex Willd. to the synonymy of a new name, M. odorata Poir. The legitimate name M. suaveolens Waldst. & Kit. ex Willd. was for a long time considered a synonym of M. sylvatica Hoffm., which may have hidden the nomenclatural problem, but the treatment of the genus in Flora Europaea (Grau & Merxmüller 1972) upholds the species as distinct. In any case, this name has always had priority over the Australian one.

Mueller, in the Fourth Supplement to his Systematic Census (April 1889), published the new name *Myosotis exarrhena* for the Australian taxon with the following entry:

'Myosotis suaveolens (not Waldstein & Kitaibel) = M. exarrhena, F.v.M.'

This valid publication has been overlooked and the name seems to have been considered a *nomen nudum* because of the reference in Mueller's Second Systematic Census (late 1889 or early 1890) to 'M. exarrhena; F.v.M., inedit.' where the replaced name was not explicitly indicated. No later authors that we can find have taken up the name and only a few (Moore & Betche 1893; Rodway 1903) even list it as synonym. Indeed, Muir (1979) has not included *M. exarrhena* in his list of Mueller's new taxa, new combinations and new names.

Myosotis exarrhena F. Muell., Syst. Census Austral. Pl., Suppl. 4: 7 (1889)

M. suaveolens (R. Br.) Poir., Encycl. Suppl. 4: 44 (1816) non Waldst. & Kit. ex Willd., Enum. Pl. Hort. Berol.: 176 (1809).

Exarrhena suaveolens R. Br., Prodr.: 495 (1810). TYPE CITATION: '(D.) v.v.' i.e. Van Diemens Land (Tasmania), R. Brown 1804 (Holotype: BM, not seen).

References

Grau, J., & Merxmüller, H. (1972) *Myosotis* (Boraginaceae). In T.G. Tutin *et al.* (eds), 'Flora Europaea'. Vol. 3: 111–117 (Cambridge Univ. Press: Cambridge).

Moore, C., & Betche, E. (1893) 'Handbook of the Flora of New South Wales' (Govt Printer: Sydney).

Muir, T.B. (1979) An index to the new taxa, new names and new combinations published by Ferdinand J.H. Mueller. *Muelleria* 4(2): 123–168.

Rodway, L. (1903) 'The Tasmanian Flora' (Govt Printer: Hobart).

Manuscript received 21 May 1986

Manuscript accepted 12 August 1986

Peter G. Wilson and Jeannie Highet National Herbarium of New South Wales Royal Botanic Gardens Sydney, Australia 2000